United States of America,) File No. 15-CR-35
Plaintiff,) (RHK/HB))
VS.) St. Paul, Minnesota
(1) Cherno Njie,) May 12, 2016) 1:00 p.m.
(2) Alagie Barrow,(3) Banka Manneh,)
)
Defendants.)
United Chatas of Tononias)
United States of America,) File No. 15-CR-28) (RHK)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) St. Paul, Minnesota
Papa Faal,) May 12, 2016) 1:00 p.m.
Defendant.)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD H. KYLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

(SENTENCING HEARING)

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

1	APPEARANCES	
2	For the Plaintiff:	U.S. Attorney's Office CHARLES J. KOVATS, JR., ESQ.
3		600 U.S. Courthouse 300 South Fourth Street
4		Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
5	For Defendant Cherno Njie:	Gaskins, Bennett, Birrell, Schupp, LLP
6		ANDREW S. BIRRELL, ESQ. Suite 2900
7		333 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
8	For Defendant Alagie Barrow:	Joseph S. Friedberg, Chartered
9		JOSEPH S. FRIEDBERG, ESQ. Suite 300
10		701 Fourth Avenue South Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
11		ROBERT D. RICHMAN, ESQ.
12		P.O. Box 16643 St. Louis Park, Minnesota 55416
13	For Defendant Banka	Lindquist & Vennum, PLLP
14	Manneh:	MARK D. LARSEN, ESQ. Suite 4200
15		80 South Eighth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 5542
16	For Defendant Papa	Office of the Federal Defender
17	Faal:	ANDREW H. MOHRING, ESQ. Suite 107
18		300 South Fourth Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55415
19	Court Reporter:	LORI A. SIMPSON, RMR-CRR
20		Suite 146 316 North Robert Street
21		St. Paul, Minnesota 55101
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS IN OPEN COURT 2 3 (Defendants present) 4 THE COURT: We have several matters on the Court's 5 calendar this afternoon. I will just note those for the United States of America vs. Njie, which is 6 7 Criminal File No. 15-35; United States of America vs. 8 Barrow, B-a-r-r-o-w, which is 15-35-2; Papa Faal, 15-28; and 9 Banka Manneh, 15-35-3. 10 So let's start with the appearances on each of 11 those cases and we can start with whatever order we have. 12 Let's start with the government. MR. KOVATS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Charles 13 14 Kovats for the United States. 15 MR. BIRRELL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Andy 16 Birrell with Mr. Njie, who is present before the Court. 17 MR. RICHMAN: Robert Richman and Joseph Friedberg 18 for Alagie Barrow, who is also present. 19 MR. LARSEN: Mark Larsen for Banka Manneh, Your 20 Honor, who is before the Court and prepared to proceed. 21 MR. MOHRING: And Andrew Mohring here with Papa Faal this afternoon. 2.2 23 THE COURT: Well, I think as counsel -- I guess 24 everyone knows we are here for imposition of sentence in 25 each one of these cases. Each of these defendants has

2.2

previously entered pleas of guilty to various -- or at least two separate federal statutes and the Court has accepted those pleas. Now we are down to the final phases of this trial [sic], which would be the sentencing.

We will hear from the lawyers on behalf of each of the defendants. The defendants will also have a chance to be heard themselves if they wish to do so, but that's entirely voluntary on their part.

Before we start, let me just make one comment.

I've received on behalf of the defendants I think about -- I tried to add it up this morning -- some 60 letters, all basically supporting the defendant. They are pretty evenly divided: 15, 19, 18, 14. Those letters stay in my file, they are not part of the public record, but I preserve them in case they're needed for some purpose.

But I want to say to those who wrote those letters, assuming that many of you are here today, that I appreciate getting them. It tells me something about the defendants that I don't find out in any other way than neighbors, friends, colleagues and the like. So I get a little better picture of who I'm dealing with.

And you don't get that -- I have had any number of cases where I am coming in here with individuals facing lengthy prison sentences and basically the courtroom is entirely empty, which is sort of a sad, sad situation.

1	But this tells me that these individual defendants
2	have support. And to the extent that any of them are going
3	to have a prison term, that support, I suspect, will be
4	there when they complete whatever term is given. I hope
5	that's the case. But in short, thank you all for coming.
6	We're going to start with, I guess, Njie. Is that
7	the right one? I think that was number 1.
8	MR. BIRRELL: En-jie [phonetic], Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: I'm sorry.
10	MR. BIRRELL: Your Honor, my understanding from
11	speaking with Mr. Kovats was that the proposal would be that
12	he would speak as to all the defendants and then we would
13	speak as
14	THE COURT: That's fine. I have no problem with
15	it. Anybody else have any objection to that?
16	MR. BIRRELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Counsel.
18	MR. KOVATS: Thank you, Your Honor. First, I
19	intend to be relatively brief. We've submitted a lot in
20	written form and I had an opportunity to speak on Tuesday.
21	THE COURT: I've heard that before.
22	MR. KOVATS: Right.
23	THE COURT: Not from you necessarily.
24	MR. KOVATS: Right. And so the one thing I didn't
25	speak about on Tuesday was the 3553(a) factors because I

2.2

feel that it's my belief that they apply not in the same way as to every defendant, but generally so. And so I thought it might help to start out with the government's view on 3553(a). I may at the end of this speak a minute to respond to defense counsel, but I don't anticipate doing that at this point.

I want to start with the big picture, at least how the government sees this. And what I would submit to you is we have two main arguments from the defense, the defendants generally, and that is that these defendants are good Americans who acted with noble intentions.

The government doesn't dispute that these men have proven themselves to date as good Americans. In the room we have two veterans of our armed forces and we have a third, Mr. Njie, who attempted himself to join. By any measure before engaging in the crimes at issue, all were good and productive and proud American citizens. They worked hard and they cared for their families. They were well employed. They cared about the greater community in which they lived. That's not in dispute here, Your Honor.

The second argument, such as it is, is the defense argument that the regime in Gambia needed to go because it was abusive and undemocratic, violent to its own citizens at home and abroad.

The United States through the State Department has

2.2

issued reports decrying the human rights record of
The Gambia, talking about the torture and arbitrary arrest
and prolonged pretrial and incommunicado detention and
forced disappearances of its own citizens, and government
harassment of the regime's critics. This also isn't in
dispute.

At the same time the government would like to caution the Court about weighing this too heavily. On Tuesday I spoke to the Court a bit about the government's interest in this regard and, in fact, the more erratic and abusive the foreign government at issue is, in some ways the more dangerous the consequences are for actions like this.

But anyway, big picture. We don't dispute these are good citizens. We don't dispute the flaws that the regime has. The government's interest here is that notwithstanding those things, what was done here is not okay. We don't get to go abroad with a private army to do private foreign policy and tip over foreign governments. That's not okay.

All right. So that's the big picture. From that I would like to zoom in a little bit and address a few points raised by counsel in papers and on Tuesday.

The chief one is the one raised by Defendant Njie, that he believed the United States government supported his plot to overthrow the regime based on conversations that he

2.2

was aware of between another member of the conspiracy and a lieutenant colonel in the service and his own direct conversations with a sergeant in the Army and suggested those conversations caused him to believe the government would support their plan.

And I would say this belief -- which may, in fact, be true, that he believed these things. What I'm suggesting is that belief is not reasonable under the circumstances and is undermined by the fact that his defendant -- or his co-conspirator, Sanneh, the one who had the conversation with the lieutenant colonel, when asked about why he was traveling to West Africa lied about it. He said he was going to visit his brother. That part might be true, but he concealed the true purpose of his travel. And if the government was supportive of this and if the defendant believed that to be the case, why would they be lying about it?

Secondly, the government has reviewed a lot of e-mail that the defendants have exchanged during the pendency of the conspiracy and I would submit to you that if, in fact, they had the blessing of the government -- I'm going to put before you Defense Exhibit 2 just to remind the Court.

THE COURT: Are you going to have a lot of exhibits? I can put the big screen up too.

1 MR. KOVATS: I have a few, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Let's put it up anyway. 3 MR. KOVATS: Okay. And I'm not going to go 4 through this in detail. 5 What I would say is these defendants engaged in a determined and a longstanding and I would say admirable 6 7 campaign to get components of the United States government 8 to help them achieve their goals in The Gambia. And you 9 heard on Tuesday how they met with congressmen, how they met 10 with senators, how they met with members of the State 11 Department, how they met with anybody they could get an 12 audience with with the United States government to get 13 traction. 14 And I would submit to you that if Mr. Njie or the 15 other defendants actually believed the government was on 16 board, there would have been a lot of discussion about that 17 point because it would have represented a reversal of 18 22 years of pounding on doors and getting nowhere. And the 19 fact is there wasn't any such e-mails, there weren't any 20 discussions because it wasn't true. 21 The fact that they used code names as well, the 2.2 fact that they marked their documents as secret also 23 suggests that this wasn't something that they actually 24 believed the government endorsed. 25 I want to talk a little bit about 3553(a), Your

2.2

Honor, and the basis for departures and the like. First I want to talk a little bit about deterrence.

Counsel for at least one defendant had suggested that deterrence isn't effective and it ought not be considered by the Court when constructing a sentence here, and I would respectfully disagree. I'm not saying that deterrence is that factor that the Court should consider first, but I do not think it should be dismissed in whole. 3553(a) enumerates that this is something that Congress has asked the court to consider and we shouldn't accept the defendant's invitation to ignore it.

But why is it appropriate? And I know that the Court has indicated that the letters written by members of the community would remain sealed. I would like to present one of them, however. It's written by an organization and not an individual, so I feel more comfortable presenting it here today. And I don't want to suggest that this letter is necessarily emblematic of everybody's, but it does cause the government concern.

And I will put it up here. This is part of

Document 143 and it's a letter from the Gambia Consultative

Council and I underlined, "Given the circumstances in which

Gambians both at home and abroad find themselves in, the GCC

has never wavered from its position of forcible removal, if

necessary, of a military regime...."

2.2

And so obviously in the government's view this is cause for some concern. It's at least reason, Your Honor, not to say deterrence shouldn't inform the government's — or rather the Court's sentence here. We shouldn't throw it away. I believe that this letter evidences the fact that there are people in the community who might stand to learn from the Court's sentence.

The movie itself also discussed the fact that -discussed the nobility of the actions of these men and also
suggested that streets would be named for them and other
things like that that suggested that there was heroism
involved. And it's those tone of things -- I'm not sure
where the line is, but it's that tone that suggests that
others might choose to follow in their footsteps to achieve
what they've achieved.

I'd also talk -- I would like to talk a little bit about the seriousness of the offense, Your Honor, and I would suggest to you that the Court's sentence should seek to do that. I would also submit to the Court that a probationary sentence would fail to achieve that.

This is a photograph -- one photograph that was in all of the government's written submissions and it just speaks to the violence that was unleashed in the capitol of The Gambia on the night of December 30th of 2014. Three members of the conspiracy were killed. We can't forget that

2.2

when talking about what sentence is appropriate today.

This was a serious offense, Your Honor. People died. The people who died perhaps might have been willing to die for their cause, but as the fusillade of bullets were let loose that night, other people could have died, Your Honor.

And in the declaration of David Wharton, who is a senior official in the State Department, he talked about -- I don't need to put it in front of you, Your Honor, but he talked about the danger to U.S. citizens as a result of this and he talked about the warnings that went out through the State Department through the embassy warning American citizens about the situation in Banjul as a result of these actions.

I'd also submit to you that the seriousness of the offense, the raising of the private army, the smuggling of weapons overseas, the private foreign policy, that a sentence of probation just doesn't address those concerns or those actions.

I'd turn to another photograph. This was a big deal, Your Honor. There was an arsenal of weapons smuggled into The Gambia to go do violence. And I think that some may argue that the ends justify the means here. I think there's been an argument made that peaceful means never achieved success, they didn't work. We tried to do it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

peacefully. It didn't work. At the same time peaceful means are lawful means. Violent means didn't work either and they're unlawful. And I'd end with where we started with on Tuesday, which is a quote from Martin Luther King, which I think was the lead on the movie that was played, a very good movie, a very compelling movie. "He who passively accepts evil is as much involved in it as he who helps perpetrate it. He who accepts evil without protesting against it is really cooperating with it." And protesting may not be effective the first time or the second time or the third time. It may never be effective. But violence, Your Honor, wasn't the answer. Ιt isn't the answer and it won't be an answer the next time, and I think the Court's sentence should reflect this analysis.

And with that, Your Honor, I'll submit.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Counsel, you may proceed.

MR. BIRRELL: Thank you. Your Honor, as to the motion for departure, I believe we have fully presented our thoughts to the Court both in our written papers and in our presentation and argument at the evidentiary hearing. So what I would propose to do today is to share with the Court my thoughts about sentencing and my arguments in support of

1 a motion for a variance in addition to the motion for a 2 departure, if that is agreeable with you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: It's agreeable. 4 MR. BIRRELL: Thank you. Your Honor, my 5 great-grandfather came from Germany and became a United States citizen and one of the most ardent Americans who ever 6 7 lived, and it's hard for me to believe that if he had gone 8 back to Germany in 1940 when we were at peace with Hitler's 9 regime and tried to effect a change that he would have been 10 hauled into a United States district court to answer for 11 that. And I think that that is the historical context 12 against which the Court should measure the conduct of these 13 brave men who stand before you today. 14 The United States attorney has told the Court that 15 these are good men, they're good Americans. And they are, 16 every one of them. You've heard about them. 17 People ask me why I defend people in criminal 18 cases sometimes and one of the reasons I do that is that 19 every once in a while I get to represent somebody like 20 Cherno Njie, who I've gotten to know over the last 18 21 months. 2.2 And you've known me for a long time, Your Honor, 23 so you know that whatever I tell you I believe. And I will 24 tell you that I have never met a person who I have more 25 admiration for, who I respect more, including people in my

2.2

own family, than Mr. Njie. He is an amazing man, Your Honor.

This is a man who grew up basically in a mud hut without indoor plumbing and came to the United States, educated himself, graduated from one of the most prestigious public institutions in the United States. With special honors he graduated.

He made himself a success both in business and in life; has contributed mightily to his community, as you can see in those letters; and who at the ripe old age of 57 years, which as a 60-year-old guy I can appreciate now, who had more money than he would ever need, a more comfortable life than most people will ever have, a young wife and children, decided to put his life on the line.

He went into The Gambia, which in my view, Your Honor, is the absolute functional equivalent of traveling to Nazi, Germany, in 1940, and tried to do a good thing. He tried with these other brave men to try to liberate a country from one of the most despicable individuals in the world.

And I hope Mr. Jammeh is watching today and I hope he watches the proceedings in the United States of America and the United States District Court with the same level of interest that he watches people on TV being tortured in his torture cells because I hope he learns something.

2.2

The government's interest in this case, it seems to me, Your Honor, was to bring the case. The reason they needed to bring the case, Your Honor, was to vindicate the authority of the government, as I said in my papers, to conduct foreign policy. And they have made their point. They have the undoubted authority to conduct foreign policy.

There is no reason to incarcerate my client or any of these men. These are good men. They were willing to put their lives on the line for the rule of law. They have come to court and told the Court what it is they did. They've submitted to the Court's authority.

More than anyone, Your Honor, you can be sure that these people will do what the Court tells them to do on probation. I mean, these are people who so respect the rule of law that they were willing to die for it to give it to other people.

The deterrence argument is not a real argument.

To suggest that because their brave comrades died that you should punish these men is to turn logic on its head, to make smaller a sacrifice that other people have made.

These are not bad people that need to be punished. What the community needs to see and what Jammeh needs to see is that justice can be tempered with mercy in the right circumstances; and these are the right circumstances, Your Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mr. Njie believed that the government of the United States was willing to accommodate this enterprise and he was wrong, but he had reason to believe it. It's undisputed, Your Honor, that the FBI was told in October of 2014 that Mr. Sanneh was involved in plotting a coup to go back to Gambia. There is no dispute that that is a fact. And there's no dispute also that they went -- the FBI in Baltimore interviewed him December 16, 2014. Now, it is true that he didn't tell the line FBI guys what he was up to, but that certainly was not the level on which he was operating. And given that and the other involvement in the case, Your Honor, it seems to me a certainly reasonable, albeit wrong, conclusion that Mr. Njie had. But at the end of the day, Your Honor, this is a good man. These are all good men and the right thing to do, Your Honor, in my view is to put them on probation. I believe Mr. Njie has a statement he wishes to make to you. THE COURT: You may proceed. Thank you, Your Honor. DEFENDANT NJIE: allow me to take a few moments to thank my family, my wife, and my brother for being here. They have been very supportive during this very difficult period, as well as some other family members who couldn't make it here and are in Texas.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

I would also like to thank the Gambian community in the Twin City area for their support and prayers. would like to single out Cherno Bah and Yero Jallow specifically for their tireless efforts to help in supporting the defense team and for all their thoughts and prayers. Finally, I would also like to thank the legal team for doing an excellent job during this process. Your Honor, in our zeal to end the tyrannical regime of Yahya Jammeh and transition to an inclusive democratic government, we violated U.S. law. That was wrong. We should not have done it. I deeply regret my part in the offense. It will never happen again. We acted in the belief that our action was not unlawful and had the blessing of the government of the United States. This too was a mistake. Our hearts go out to all those in The Gambia suffering under the dictatorial rule of Yahya Jammeh. Dr. King reminds us that the arc of the moral universe is long, but it does bend towards justice. My colleagues and I have resolved ourself to patiently wait for justice to come to The Gambia. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. I think we will just go through each of them and

1 then we'll come back and --2 MR. BIRRELL: Yes. Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Mr. Richman. 4 MR. RICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. This is on 5 behalf of Alagie Barrow. Your Honor, it has been my great honor to represent Alagie Barrow and to get to know him over 6 7 the course of the last 18 months. 8 When I first met him I immediately wanted to 9 become involved in his case because rarely do I have an 10 opportunity as defense counsel to stand before the court and 11 say that the world would be a better place had he been 12 successful in his criminal conduct, and I think that 13 everyone who is sitting behind me observing would agree with 14 that sentiment. 15 Even the government has made very clear that its concern was with the erratic behavior of Jammeh with respect 16 17 to the failure of the coup, but it has never once offered 18 the opinion that its interests are somehow allied with the 19 Jammeh regime. 20 And while the government refers to Jammeh or the 21 Gambian government as a rival of the United States, that was 2.2 the term that the government used on Tuesday, I think it 23 would be more candid to say that that regime is a menace on 24 the international stage. 25 It's clear that what Mr. Barrow did, like the

2.2

other defendants, he did not out of selfish motives, but to help others. And what is so exemplary about Mr. Barrow is that that is typical of his outlook about life.

In 2001, after September 11th, he joined the Army National Guard because given what had happened, he wanted to serve his adopted country. And I would suggest, Your Honor, it was the same impetus, the same pang of conscience that he felt in 2001 when he joined the Army National Guard that catalyzed his conduct in this case.

He served in the National Guard from 2001 to 2014 at various times during that time as his full-time position, but more, Your Honor, as the letters to the Court reflect, Mr. Barrow has always made service to others a top priority for himself.

He was a volunteer for new immigrants, an advocate for new immigrants as a volunteer. Most recently while he has been on pretrial release, he has been working with disabled adults, helping to care for young adults who are unable to care for themselves, which is work that he has found extremely rewarding.

There are many people who continue to depend on Mr. Barrow. He has a family, his wife. He has three children. He and his wife have a 7-year-old son, he has a 13-year-old daughter from a previous relationship who lives with his wife and Mr. Barrow, and he has a 9-year-old child

to whom he pays child support.

2.2

But in addition, Your Honor, he has his family in The Gambia who continues to depend on him. His elderly mother remains in The Gambia. He has many relatives who rely on remittances from Mr. Barrow for their support.

Your Honor, everything that Mr. Barrow has done in his life up to this crime has been exemplary and I would suggest that that conduct, that record of a lifetime has not been tarnished by this crime.

This is a man who left The Gambia, came to the United States in 1996 at the age of 23. He applied for political asylum because he himself had been persecuted and tortured by the Jammeh regime and was granted political asylum, as a result of which he is now a U.S. citizen. He went to college here. He got his master's degree. He served honorably in the military, as I've indicated.

Mr. Barrow is not a zealot. He recognizes that it is now up to the Gambian people in that country to take control of their own fate and accomplish change. And while the government has made reference to statements from the Gambia Consultative Committee, I would suggest that those sentiments relate to the position of the people in The Gambia who are required to do what they can to implement regime change in that country.

And so while the government has urged this Court

2.2

to send a message to promote deterrence through a harsh sentence in this case, the message that I'm concerned about is the message that will be sent to the people of The Gambia if this Court chooses to impose prison sentences for these men.

The message to those people will be that the United States turns a blind eye to their suffering. Worse, they may draw the conclusion that the United States is opposed to any efforts to overthrow their repressive regime and that the United States actually supports the Jammeh administration.

It is not likely that the people of The Gambia will be able to make the subtle distinction of the law that makes the Neutrality Act applicable to American citizens in this country and not applicable to the people in The Gambia.

What they will see is that Gambian-Americans attempted to overthrow this repressive regime and were sent to prison as a result of it. They will see it as support for the Jammeh regime and could be crushing with respect to any blossoming effort to overthrow that regime.

But ultimately, Your Honor, I would suggest that this is not a case about sending messages. This is a case about, as every case is, doing justice. And I would join in the remarks by Mr. Birrell. I think this is a case that applying the 3553(a) factors, the sentence that is

1 necessary, but not greater -- is sufficient, but not greater 2 than necessary, to achieve the purposes of sentencing is a 3 probationary sentence. 4 That sentence, in light of all of the 5 circumstances of this case, does not inadequately reflect the seriousness of the offense. It is the appropriate 6 7 disposition and we ask the Court to impose it. 8 Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 MR. RICHMAN: And I think that Mr. Barrow has some 11 comments as well. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Barrow. 13 DEFENDANT BARROW: Yes, sir. Good afternoon, sir. 14 Over the last 20 years three events have shaped my life significantly. 15 16 In early 2001 I had a job, I was expecting my 17 first child, and I was in college. I felt at ease with 18 where I was in life and I was thinking about joining the 19 military, but I couldn't decide on what branch to join. 20 Then September 11, 2001 happened. There's a saying that to 21 whom much is given much is expected. 2.2 I called my recruiter at that time, Sergeant First 23 Class Howse, now retired, and I told her I was ready to go 24 to training. I wanted to join the Army. She asked me what 25 job I was interested in and I told her I didn't care.

2.2

asked me if I wanted to be a cook. I said whatever it took.

All I wanted to do was to defend the people of America that
gave me so much.

That following January I went to training and while I was in class in April of 2003 in college I received a call that we were to report to our unit for onward deployment to an unknown destination. In more than a decade of military service, I've never hesitated when called upon to defend the people of this nation. My decision to join the U.S. Army in 2001 and the lessons I learned imbued in me a moral responsibility to defend those that gave to me.

I had a pretty successful military career, but the conditions of my people back home, mothers being raped, fathers being disappeared, and my fellow countrymen dying in the waters of the Mediterranean wouldn't allow me to rest easy. I suffered anxiety and could not sleep at night. I could hear the wailings of a mother as she was being accused of being a witch by the government. I could see the agony of a father beaten into a pulp by the government, the tears of a cousin's sister who was forced to be raped by the authorities. I could not be myself. These were my people. These are my blood, my countrymen.

And despite my efforts at encouraging sanity back home through democratic means, I realized it was becoming an exercise of diminishing returns and so when my brother asked

2.2

me to help with a group that planned to restore sanity back to our homeland, I accepted to help out. My mission was to look over their plans and just help them out with their planning.

Meanwhile, conditions back home were getting worse and a friend of mine that I met in the military shared with me that -- he shared a George Bush quote with me. "The American dream means giving it your all, trying your hardest, accomplishing something, and then I will add to that giving something back. No definition of a successful life can do anything but include serving others." These were values that were imbued in me throughout my journey in the military.

How could I help with a plan that I couldn't risk my life for? When it became apparent that my involvement required more than a cursory glance of what my countrymen drew, I joined in.

The second incident that is shaping my life is what I am going through right now, a desire to provide for my people simple freedom.

Since being released from the custody of the
United States I've had the privilege of working with
mentally disabled young men. Prior to that, prior to any of
this happening, I used to volunteer at the VA centers and
work with senior citizens at veterans centers. I taught

2.2

classes -- civic classes to new immigrants to the United States because I believe in the values that the United States promoted.

The two gentlemen I work with can barely function on their own. They require complete help when they wake up. I have to help shave them, give them a bath, cook for them, take them out in the community because they can't function on their own.

But these two gentlemen have taught me so much as a man and as a human being, that all we have is one another. I love being around them as much as they love being around me and I wish to involve myself more in their world and help them be able to function on their own in a better capacity. I also have about 30 people whose livelihood depend on me.

I'm not a bad person, sir. I'm not a terrorist.

I've never acted against the interests of the United States.

I was a public affairs officer. I stood for the policies of the United States. I defended them in the military to other people outside of the United States.

And a child never hurts the mother that took him in when another child from his blood mother violates and banishes him from home. The United States is like a motherland for me that took me in when I was left out in the cold with nobody to help. There is nothing within me or in me that would go out and hurt a mother that took me and made

me the better person that I am today.

2.2

Robert McCracken said that, "We on this continent should never forget that men first crossed the Atlantic to find soil for their plows" -- "not to find soil for their plows, but to secure liberty for their souls." I crossed the Atlantic to secure liberty for the souls of my people. I had no idea whatsoever, nothing, that my actions were in contravention of United States laws.

While I am heavily influenced by the republican values of this great nation and the values that it was founded upon, I never thought of myself as an American going to Gambia to secure liberty. I saw myself as a Gambian because it is my family being killed in The Gambia, even today this very minute as I stand here before you, sir.

It was the great Ronald Reagan who said, "The world must see an America that is morally strong with a creed and a vision. This is what has led us to dare and achieve. For us values count."

I took full responsibility for my actions when I flew across two continents. After my peers here were arrested, I flew from Asia to Europe to here to turn myself in to the authorities and I stand before you here today assuming full responsibility for my actions and asking for mercy from the Court.

Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Counsel. 3 MR. LARSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 4 Mr. Manneh now stands before the Court. I have only a few 5 comments for the Court's consideration. I must say I concur with the comments of my peers previously having been made 6 7 from the lectern to Your Honor this afternoon and I would 8 adopt them as Mr. Manneh's positions as well. 9 There are, however, a few unique aspects of this 10 I'm not going to rehash what you have heard 11 previously, but there are a few unique aspects of this case 12 as applicable to Mr. Manneh that I would like to call to Your Honor's attention. 13 14 Mr. Manneh does respectfully seek a term of 15 probation here, Judge. We view that as a substantial 16 punishment that would be sufficient to comply with the 17 statutory goals and elements of sentencing that Congress has 18 told us about in Section 3553. I did go back and take a 19 quick look at the statute this morning before driving over 20 here to St. Paul. 21 THE COURT: Always a good idea to know what it 2.2 says. 23 MR. LARSEN: Always a good idea to take a look at 24 what the law is, and there's obviously many factors and it's 25 a long statute and I could probably waste a lot of time

going through all of the elements here.

2.2

But there's one element in particular that jumped off the page at me in this case in a way totally out of proportion to my prior experience in handling cases in this courtroom and it jumped off the page at me in a manner sufficient to have me realize that no matter what one does as a lawyer, and for goodness' sake you probably experienced this yourself before you took the bench, you've just never really seen it all.

There's always the case that teaches us something more, something more about our obligations to justice, our obligations to the court, and equally important our obligations to our clients.

Because what I saw when I looked at the statute today was that not only is the Court to consider, as the United States has pointed out here, the nature and circumstances of the offense, but in the very same subsection of the statute it tells us and the history and characteristics of the defendant, this man.

And those aspects of this case have taught me so much over the past 14, 15 months that looking back on it,

Judge, I'm frankly overwhelmed at what I have learned from this case because this man, Your Honor, shows us a history and shows us characteristics that are just off the charts in terms of their positive impact on all that he comes into

contact with.

2.2

Now, Your Honor is going to hear from him in just a few moments. He isn't going to be giving you rehearsed comments, but I know that one of the things you'll be hearing is that his acceptance of responsibility in this case isn't just because he knows he's violated the law, but because he's violated the law against the very country that he has adopted as his own and who he respects to such a phenomenal extent.

He knows that he's privileged to be in this country. For goodness' sakes, Your Honor, he's privileged to be in this courtroom here today because it sure could have been a heck of a lot worse.

But what those letters tell us about him, that Your Honor previously has referred to, in terms of his personal characteristics shows us a life dedicated to the betterment of others, not just himself, but to others.

And there's one letter in particular that I wanted to call to your attention. I'm not going to put it up on the overhead and I'm not going to cite to the name of the letter-writer because I don't have his permission to use his name in this public proceeding here today.

But, Judge, it's the same individual who you saw on the video two days ago who talked about having been thrown into prison and having been given a life term because

2.2

he had 100 T-shirts printed that talked about democracy in his homeland of The Gambia.

That letter-writer's attestation of my client's character and what my client did for him and for his family to help with Reverend Jesse Jackson in securing his release goes to the core of what this man's true character really is.

And it's a true character surrounded with a constellation of life's experiences: raising money for others, securing health services for others, traveling overseas and transporting people back to this country so they can get medical services that they need, transporting them back across the Atlantic to Gambia so that they can die surrounded by their family members, providing educational tools to students, encouraging students to make of their lives what he has made of his own, an undergraduate degree, a graduate degree, a professional man who now stands before the Court having raised himself up by his own bootstraps out of a mud hut in The Gambia.

So if we do take a look at what Section 3553(e) tells us are appropriate considerations, those characteristics of this man strongly support the imposition of a sentence to include a term of probation in this particular case.

Your Honor, I wrote to your probation officer,

2.2

Ms. Andrade-Vera, about this case and in the last paragraph of the letter I wrote to her that it has been my privilege and my honor to have represented this individual in this judicial proceeding, something I never have thought of having written before, and it is because of his character and all that he has taught me about what true character really is and what it really means.

Those factors support probation, Judge. Anything else, I believe, would be greater than necessary to fulfill the statutory elements of sentencing.

DEFENDANT MANNEH: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

DEFENDANT MANNEH: I guess first of all I would like to thank the Gambian community. They have been very supportive throughout this process. I really appreciate everything that they have done for us and I just wanted to say that before I say anything.

And also to thank my attorneys and even the prosecutors and even the FBI agents who were -- everybody treated me the best they could, really. I mean, this has been a wonderful experience for me as far as getting to see firsthand what this country after all is all about because I've seen with my own eyes how I have been treated with the most -- with dignity and respect, I would say more than I deserved, quite frankly, and to have gone through your court

2.2

giving all my rights, the rights that have been denied to Gambians back home. So I really wanted to thank the prosecutors, my lawyers, and the FBI agents and this honorable court for how I have been treated all along.

I'm profoundly sorry, Your Honor. I'm ashamed of what I have done because -- for the simple fact that this country gave me a lot. I am the only one who went to school in my whole entire family. My mother -- my brother had to quit school because my mom could not afford 25 cents a month tuition.

So coming here was never in my dreams. I never thought I would come to America. I never even thought about it. So I was shocked when I was brought here in 1995 by an American and embraced by his family.

I have never looked back. It's been a wonderful experience. I went to college here. I worked three jobs to put myself through college and now I proudly have my master's degree.

But more importantly, I think, that I never thought with all the things that I have been endowed with throughout these 20 odd years, I never thought I could get any one of those things, I never had hope.

Now, for a country that has given me so much opportunity and given me so much, to have found myself really standing on the other side of the law, accused of

2.2

having offended the country, that's why from the beginning I never even wanted this thing to go to trial.

I decided to plead guilty right away because I realized along the way somehow -- and believe it or not, it came right after the arrest of Papa Faal that I realized, wow, oops, I guess we committed a crime and then from that day on I regret everything I have ever done.

Because for me, I never wanted to offend America.

I love this country dearly, Your Honor. I care deeply about this country because I am who I am today because of this country.

But it's that same reason also, Your Honor, why I sacrifice for the Gambians on the ground. I have traveled everywhere in the world, you name it, Europe, Africa, America, everywhere, knocking on every door I could find trying to find answers, trying to get help for those people back home.

The video that you saw here is a toned-down version of what was going on in that country because for the most part there are aspects of that country where when you talk to people about it, it is propaganda because those crimes are too grave to bear or the -- I mean, nobody could even imagine in this 21st century that you will have a country in the world -- I'm sorry, my mouth is getting dry -- that you have a country in the world where such

crimes are committed.

2.2

And that's why I've been going all around the place, Your Honor, making sure that the Christian minority in the country are fully protected when it was declared the country is an Islamic state and sharia law is going to be introduced, I've been fighting for that. When gays have been arrested, I've been all around the place knocking on doors to make sure that we rescue them. When people get arrested, I'm one of the first people that gets contacted. When somebody gets disappeared, I'm one of the first people who gets contacted. When somebody is tortured, I am one of the first people that gets contacted.

And so on a daily basis I get a dose of the reality of that country. It is not looking good. It's really, really bad. When they're desperate I come to help that situation and I save those lives.

I realize now I have committed a crime against a country I love so much and for that and for whatever it's worth, Your Honor, I want to say I am deeply sorry to this country and to anybody who calls this country home.

I think for me -- I'm sorry.

MR. LARSEN: Here's some water.

DEFENDANT MANNEH: For me, the gravest lesson I've learned from this is that next time before you do anything just contact a lawyer first and have a word with him

1 because, frankly, breaking the law is not in my DNA. 2 been living in this country for more than 20 years and never 3 been arrested for any crimes. I've always been a 4 law-abiding citizen and I want to continue being one. 5 I don't intend to ever, ever do anything that 6 would ever again offend this country in any form, shape, or 7 fashion because that's never been my intention. It never has been and never will be. 8 9 So I am deeply sorry for what has happened and to 10 have wasted your time on our case during the course of this 11 trial. I'm sorry. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 Mr. Mohring. 14 MR. MOHRING: Thank you, Your Honor. Judge, the 15 decency and the humanity and the courage of these men have 16 been spoken about actually in some ways on both sides of the 17 prosecution and the defense, but these characteristics are a 18 call to each of us to match that and to show our own. 19 I appreciate the Court's comments about the 20 reference letters. I put together and gave to the Court 21 just a fraction of the communications that I received. 2.2 as the Court noted, they are powerful, enviable even. 23 Papa Faal has revealed to the Court in the 24 presentence investigation report, himself and in the letters 25 written on his behalf by the people who know him best, as a

2.2

compelling individual, an educated man. He has a bachelor's degree. He has two master's degrees. He is a doctoral student. He speaks four languages.

He is, as the Court has seen, deeply committed to The Gambia and to the Gambian people, but he is also deeply committed to the United States of America. He is a decorated combat veteran and he bears the emotional scars and the physical scars from that, from that service. And I think most important to him and vividly depicted in the letters that people wrote, he is deeply committed to his family, nuclear and extended.

It's a wonderful part of this line of work that we get to come into contact with people who have walked under very different circumstances and very different paths than our own.

But the question this afternoon is how to judge these men, how to judge these offenses and how to sentence them. And that challenge, I think, is present to a greater degree in this case than in almost any that I've ever come into contact with.

As co-counsel have mentioned, there is no shortage of analogies. Mr. Birrell brought up Nazi, Germany, and the question of what would we do for someone who committed this offense under those circumstances, but it's an unfortunate part of the human reality of this era that there are no

shortage of other examples.

2.2

My mother was born and raised in Greece. She survived the Second World War and the Greek civil war that followed it. She survived the German and Italian occupation of her village and her home.

And she came to the United States and she watched in horror in 1967 when her nation, her homeland, the birthplace of democracy, was taken over in a military coup. The military junta ruled Greece from 1967 to 1974 for seven brutal years, conducting the types of tactics and human rights abuses and violent rule that the Court has heard about.

And I watched my mother, as a kid growing into an adolescent over those seven years, working with her family members and other members of the Greek diaspora and I watched their confusion and their struggle with how -- what to do and how to respond responsibly under those circumstances and I watched them try to engage the great powers, engage the United States and the United Kingdom and the United Nations, in support of regime change in Greece, but I also watched them struggle with the same confusion that you saw -- you see in these men and that you saw in the video, a concern about what impact their actions might have on their family members in Greece.

So I look at these men and I look at my mother and

2.2

I wonder, but I think it's a question that is one that is placed to all of us in this courtroom and I think most pointedly to you, what would we do under those circumstances, how would we act, how would we want to be judged were we in the footsteps, in the shoes of these men. Sentencing in this case calls on us to answer that question.

In the words of one of the people in the video, he said, this is a terrible set of circumstances, one that compels people to act, but if it's your mom, if it's your family being locked up, I guess in terms of the statute that would fall under the nature and circumstances of the offense.

In deciding how to respond to that from the standpoint of sentencing, as discussed at length on Tuesday and I won't go into it again, Your Honor, I submit that the guidelines are effectively irrelevant. The guidelines really do not do any kind of meaningful justice to the human circumstances and the facts — the uncontested facts of this case.

The guidelines provide us no meaningful import or guidance and so we are left with a statute, but we're also left with the fundamental basic three objectives, potential objectives of criminal sentencing: retribution, rehabilitation, and deterrence.

Now, the prosecution has talked about how we just

2.2

can't have this, this is not okay, we cannot have people conducting personal foreign policy. And they have proven their point. Each of these men stands convicted of a felony. They were prosecuted to the full extent of the law. They were convicted of felonies and they will carry that mark for the rest of their days.

So this is not a question of guilt or innocence.

We don't speak to that. This is a question of punishment

and mitigation of punishment. The government says it's not

okay and they've made that very clear. That is clear as we

stand here this afternoon, regardless of what the Court does

in terms of sentencing.

In terms of retribution, one thing that became clear on Tuesday in the arguments of counsel is, as the prosecution has acknowledged, they do not -- they don't disagree with these men's objectives. This is a question of a disagreement with means, but not outcome. And so retribution for violating American foreign policy is an objective that certainly does not call for or justify a sentence of imprisonment in this case.

In terms of rehabilitation, Papa Faal is, like these other men, revealed to the Court to be a decent, honorable, educated man who should be allowed to return to his family and his life.

Mr. Richman talked about how the world would be a

2.2

better place if these men had succeeded and I think the record on that is clear, but I think it's also true that the world would be a better place if we had more people like these men in it.

In terms of rehabilitation, the law talks about presentence rehabilitation and allows the Court to consider in mitigation, allows the Court to consider in imposing a sentence below the guidelines circumstances where people are not themselves dangerous. And these men are not dangerous, not to us. We do not need to be protected from them. We do not need a term of imprisonment certainly to protect us from them.

And finally, on the question of deterrence, I think the record is equally unambiguous. These men will not do this again. Papa Faal spoke eloquently on Tuesday about the anguish that he feels in recognition of what his crime has done to his family members that he will not do this again.

But if the Court is concerned about the future possible activity of these men given the depth of their conviction and the depth of their beliefs, those concerns are vindicated much more so by conditions of supervision than they are by sentences of imprisonment.

And so that leaves us with what I guess they called in law school general deterrence, and the prosecution

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

in their sentencing position spoke about needing to deter Often they speak about needing to make an example and they use the analogy of ISIS and al-Shabaab and of the allegations against members of this community that they have acted in ways that support those organizations. analogy is offensive. It is inappropriate. In the words of co-counsel, it's ridiculous. These are not the people who should be used to deter those others. Papa Faal is a decent man who should be treated and sentenced as such. I join the prosecution in their request for a departure on his behalf and for a sentence below the guidelines and I urge the Court to impose a sentence of probation. DEFENDANT FAAL: Good afternoon, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good afternoon. DEFENDANT FAAL: I want to first thank the Court for everything that -- the past couple of days we've been here, accommodating all of us here under these circumstances. I also want to thank the Gambian community and my family for their unyielding support in this case. It's been

I also want to thank the Gambian community and my family for their unyielding support in this case. It's been a rough road since we got back in December. The community has been unwavering in their support, both financially and emotionally. So I say thank you to them.

Your Honor, in addition to that I want to say that

1 I deeply apologize to the American people and I do apologize 2 to you. 3 I have been in this country for 20 -- probably 25 4 years and since I arrived here all I did was to improve my 5 life and to seek avenues where I can be able to add value to 6 my community. 7 I joined the military in 2002 for the same reason. When watching the World Towers falling and people throwing 8 9 themselves from the windows of the tower to their demise, 10 the horrible scene, I felt no one should actually sit by and 11 watch. I joined the military right after that to do my 12 part, no matter how small. Over the years I have gained a lot of friends from 13 14 both sides. I've built a community here. I respect the law 15 deeply. The reason why we Gambians or Africans, for that 16 matter, leave our country to come to a nation like this, it 17 is not because of the glares and the towers and everything 18 else. It's because of the value that we admire so much. 19 And when we came -- I came in 1991 when things 20 were stable, we have democratic system, we have rule of law, 21 we have justice. But I came for education because I wanted 2.2 to gain what I needed to improve human lives back home. 23 When this coup d'état happened in 1994, I remained here and I continued to do what I needed to do for this 24

community, for this country. It's a beacon of hope. And

25

it's true, it's a beacon of hope.

2.2

That's why the defendants and I are standing right here talking to you, because we achieved the dream that we came for and we achieve so much. Our neighbors have become our family, our co-workers become our friends. How wonderful is that.

This country, the rule of law here, we always say that this will not happen in the United States. And we are seeing what's going on back in The Gambia right now with the imprisonment of people who do not -- did not do anything; they are thrown in jail for durations of numbers of years without trial. We feel that will never happen here. That will never happen here because of the value that the United States have -- I should say the world has entrusted the United States. We mean they're entrusted because the whole world assimilates the values that the United States carries. So how awful do I feel right now standing in front of you to say I am sorry for breaking the laws of this great land that I have so much worked to protect and serve.

Your Honor, I just wanted to speak briefly about how I feel about the conditions that led to this situation and the aftermath of this whole thing on our families, on me, and our friends.

We have been fighting, as I said before, for over two decades and we have seen people in The Gambia go through

2.2

tremendous, tremendous hardship nobody can ever think of.
We have seen our freedom, the very thing that we cherish in
the United States here that we go all over the world to
defend, that very freedom, the liberties, the right to life,
liberty, and pursuit of happiness taken and stripped away
from the people of The Gambia. They go day in and day out
sometimes just for existence, they work just for existence,
to exist because they're competing with somebody who denied
them even the basic means to make a living. Scores of them
are leaving. They would rather die in the Mediterranean
than stay back home under such brutal conditions.

We have as human beings, as me as a person and my brothers who are sitting down there, in our conscience sitting down and watching that while we are going all around, as Barrow said, serving in the military for over ten years, defending the liberties of people around the world, in Iraq and we went to Afghanistan.

When I went to Afghanistan -- I always tell this story. When I went to an R&R I went to Germany to my family and we spend about two weeks there before I went back to Afghanistan and there was a guy who came in who was a friend of my brother and he asked this question, Was the war worth it? And I didn't hesitate to think because I knew why I joined. I said, Yes, it was. Yes, it is because for the first time I saw an Afghani carry a table of goods and

2.2

selling them in the streets so they can be able to feed their families. That, to me, was something worth dying for because he was able to find means to feed his family; and I meant that.

But the people of The Gambia are denied the same. Yahya Jammeh will compete with them directly, even for buying meat. If you sit down and you're selling meat, he will sit -- you will have a military guy sitting next to you selling meat. If you are selling oil, you will have a military guy sitting next to you selling oil. So how can you compete with a state like that where he doesn't pay taxes, he undercuts everything? So we could not sit down and watch that.

So we have tried and tried and tried and tried and the conditions just kept on going. So as I said the last time, we felt that this was the option, but the outcome was just as difficult and traumatic because our actions directly hurt the very people we were trying to help, that is, our families back home and families here.

We lost great brothers. Njaga Jagne was a great friend of mine. I look at him -- his memories show every single day in my head, every time I close my eyes. We had great conversations all the time. Lamin Sanneh and Alhagie Nyass likewise. I will never forget the memories of those people. I will never forget that they left, you know, their

2.2

families back here. They were the sole provider for their families and now their children are going to grow up without fathers. That guilt will stay with me for the rest of my life.

And I apologize deeply again for what we did and I apologize deeply for breaking the laws of this great land.

And there is a reason why I stayed for 25 years, not because -- I mean, when I came here The Gambia was great. I stayed because I love this country. I served -- I joined the military voluntarily because I love this country. I look at the country. The country needs me. That's why I joined.

And when I got out, I didn't go back to Gambia. I stayed. I built a family here. My daughter is three years old. I love her to death. My son, two months old, he is just amazing. My wife, I just can't say anything about —but I hurt those people at the same time because I put undue burden on them, the uncertainty of everything. Day in and day out they don't know. We're struggling. Like I said before, I drive a taxi for a living. There are times when our bills are not paid. I caused that.

So how, then, would I see a circumstance like that and throw myself in again? You throw yourself in the fire just once because when you get out, you want to head to cold water so you can be able to tame your skin. I will never,

```
1
       ever, as I said before, do anything like this.
2
                 The Gambian struggle will continue, but because --
 3
       the Gambian struggle will continue because we have to make
 4
       sure that people have freedom because everybody deserves so.
 5
       Everybody deserves the freedom because it's a natural gift
 6
       for us.
 7
                 So with that, Your Honor, I thank you.
 8
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
                 Mr. Kovats?
10
                 MR. KOVATS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
                 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else from anybody?
12
                 Let's take a recess. Let's come back at 2:30.
                                                                  We
13
       are in recess.
14
           (Recess taken at 2:14 p.m.)
15
16
           (2:39 p.m.)
17
                              IN OPEN COURT
18
                 THE COURT: We are about to turn to sentencing.
19
       Before I do, let me just read into the record, for those of
20
       you who have not been in on a sentencing before, what the
21
       Court is directed by federal law to do.
2.2
                 The Court is directed to impose a sentence which
23
       is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply
24
       with the purposes of sentencing and those purposes include
25
       the need for a sentence to reflect the seriousness of the
```

2.2

offense, promote respect for the law, and provide just punishment for the offense. The sentence should afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, and it should provide the defendant with needed education and vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

The Court is to consider the kinds of sentences which are available, which at least in my mind has always been a reference to the advisory guideline range which has been calculated in this case, and the Court is also to avoid what are known as unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct.

So those are the factors which the Court has to take into account. It's not just a matter of I get to look at everybody and decide this would be a good sentence or a bad sentence. I've got to work those conditions into the sentence, which I will do.

So I think what we're going to do, then, is take up the defendants one at a time and it's not going to take very long because the speeches have all been made as far as I know. So let's start with -- who is the first?

THE CLERK: Cherno Njie.

THE COURT: Mr. Njie, anything you want to say?

1 Anything further from counsel? 2 MR. BIRRELL: No. Thank you, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Well, taking all of the factors into 4 account, in my mind a sentence of one year and a day plus 5 three years of supervised release meets all the terms of the 6 statute. 7 I considered basically no time, but I don't think that is appropriate under all the factors here. I think a 8 9 year and a day, which you're authorized for a 15 percent 10 reduction in your sentence if you follow all the rules and 11 regulations. 12 And a \$10,000 fine. I don't know if I put that in 13 there. That's to be paid immediately. 14 I don't know whether there's any recommendation 15 for placement. 16 MR. BIRRELL: He would request that the Court 17 endorse a placement near his home in Austin, Texas. 18 THE COURT: And you'll be notified of that. 19 obviously you'll have voluntary surrender, so he can leave 20 here. 21 MR. BIRRELL: Thank you. 2.2 THE COURT: You have the right to -- if you are 23 dissatisfied or your lawyer is dissatisfied, you have the 24 right to challenge or appeal the sentence which I have just 25 imposed, not your plea of guilty. That's in place and you

2.2

can't do anything about that. But if you think the sentence is too high or something is wrong with it, you can take an appeal to another federal court which reviews decisions of trial courts such as this.

And the way you do that is you file a notice of appeal, which is basically a written document, with the clerk of this court, but it has to be filed within 14 days of the date that the judgment in this case is entered. The judgment is basically another piece of paper which gets filed with the Clerk's Office. That piece of paper should be filed, I would guess, tomorrow. So that 14-day period begins to run at that time. If you are going to appeal, you must file that notice within that 14-day period.

You will be entitled to be represented by counsel in connection with any appeal of the sentence. If you cannot afford a lawyer, the Court will appoint a lawyer to represent you. Do you understand that?

DEFENDANT NJIE: I do.

THE COURT: And I want to make sure you understand
I'm not talking about your -- you can't change your plea.
You can't change that part of it. That's in place. It's
just the sentence at this stage of the game.

Okay. There's also on your sentence -- I guess I did mention that. I'm also adding three years of supervised release after the term, so that's in there too.

```
1
                 So why don't you go back to your seats --
2
                 MR. BIRRELL: Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
                 THE COURT: -- if you don't have anything else to
 4
       say.
 5
                 Okay. Mr. Barrow is next.
 6
                 MR. KOVATS: Your Honor, if I may --
 7
                 THE COURT: Let me just add something to the last
 8
       sentence. I am required to impose a $200 special assessment
 9
       for the Crime Victims Fund.
10
                 MR. BIRRELL: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
11
                 MR. KOVATS: I have a couple of other -- these are
12
       bookkeeping things just to make sure that the record is
13
       clear. Defendant Njie had made motions for a downward
14
       departure and a variance. I'm assuming the Court granted
15
       those based on the sentence?
16
                 THE COURT: Yes.
17
                 MR. KOVATS: And then there was some guideline
18
       things just to clear up. And I know that your sentence
19
       wasn't necessarily tied to the quidelines, but there was
20
       some dispute as to whether or not a leader/organizer
21
       adjustment would apply and whether the Court --
2.2
                 THE COURT: I think the leader -- that does apply.
23
                 MR. KOVATS: Okay. And then the Court did not
24
       apply the --
25
                 THE COURT: Four points?
```

```
1
                 MR. KOVATS: -- for the firearms?
 2
                 THE COURT: Yeah, right.
 3
                 MR. KOVATS: And that was not applied, right?
                 THE COURT: Right.
 4
 5
                 MR. KOVATS: Very good. Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
                 Mr. Friedberg or whoever is going to talk.
 8
                 MR. RICHMAN: We've said everything we have to
 9
       say, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Barrow, the Court's view of
       your sentence is as follows: You are sentenced to six
11
12
       months in prison and three years of supervised release and
       there will be no fine. I think that's about it.
13
14
       special assessment for the Crime Victims Fund.
15
                 MR. RICHMAN: Your Honor, given the length of the
16
       sentence, would the Court consider making a recommendation
17
       to the Bureau of Prisons that his sentence be served in a
18
       halfway house rather than in an actual prison facility,
19
       which he could do in his community in Nashville? Just given
20
       the way the BOP works, just getting him incorporated into
21
       prison life is going to take six months and he'll be done
2.2
       with his sentence, so we would ask for a recommendation that
23
       he serve his term in a halfway house with work release
24
       privileges.
25
                 THE COURT: No, I will not do that. I think it
```

```
1
       ought to be a prison term.
                 MR. RICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2
 3
                 THE COURT: Anything else? Mr. Friedberg, you're
 4
      up here quiet.
 5
                 MR. FRIEDBERG: I don't intend to change that,
 6
      Your Honor.
 7
                 THE COURT: It's a good quality. Thank you.
                 Who is --
 8
 9
                 MR. KOVATS: Your Honor, I'm going to play the
10
       same role of sort of the procedural gadfly and just cover a
11
       couple of other things. I'm just presuming the defense
12
      motions for downward departure and variance were granted?
13
                 THE COURT: Yes.
14
                 MR. KOVATS: And I know that you did notify
15
       Defendant Njie of his right to appeal. Similarly, Defendant
16
      Barrow has a right to appeal the sentence within --
17
                 THE COURT: I'll say the same thing here. You
18
      have a right to appeal the sentence. If you want to appeal
19
       it, you must file a notice of appeal with the clerk of this
20
       court within 14 days of the date that the judgment is
21
       entered. If you let that 14 days go by, you will lose your
2.2
       right to appeal the sentence. That probably covers it. And
23
       I'm only talking about the sentence. You can't appeal your
24
      plea of guilty. You can't change your mind about that.
25
                 MR. KOVATS: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

1 THE COURT: Who is next? Mr. Larsen, anything you 2 want to say before I pronounce sentence? 3 MR. LARSEN: No. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Manneh, you are sentenced as 4 5 follows: You are committed to the custody of the Bureau of 6 Prisons for a period of six months with three years of 7 supervised release to follow and I'll recommend -- any 8 placement recommendation? 9 MR. LARSEN: In the Atlanta, Georgia, area if we 10 may, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I will recommend to the Bureau of 12 Prisons that your place of incarceration be a federal 13 facility within the vicinity of Atlanta, Georgia. 14 I want to make clear, if I didn't to the other 15 two, but certainly to you now, that I don't decide where you 16 will serve your term. That's not up to me. All I can do is 17 make a recommendation. My experience with the Bureau of 18 Prisons is that sometimes they follow it and sometimes they 19 don't. But you'll be notified probably within six weeks or 20 so where that would be. 21 DEFENDANT MANNEH: I understand, Your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: And you have a right also to appeal 23 the sentence if you are dissatisfied with it. If you do 24 that, you must file a notice of appeal within 14 days of the 25 date the judgment is entered. And you're entitled to be

```
1
       representing by a lawyer in connection with any appeal and
2
       the Court will appoint a lawyer to represent you if you
 3
       decide to appeal.
 4
                 A $200 special assessment for the Crime Victims
 5
       Fund is also imposed.
                 DEFENDANT MANNEH: I understand. Thank you.
 6
 7
                 MR. LARSEN: Thank you, Your Honor.
 8
                 THE COURT: Anything else?
 9
                 MR. LARSEN: Nothing from the defense, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
11
                 MR. KOVATS: And, Your Honor, if I could just make
       the record clear as well here that the defendant's motion
12
13
       for a downward departure or variance was granted for
14
       Defendant Manneh; is that right, Your Honor?
15
                 THE COURT: Yes.
16
                 MR. KOVATS: And then there was an issue about
17
       whether the leader/organizer adjustment applied and just
18
       asking if the Court --
19
                 THE COURT: For --
20
                 MR. KOVATS: For Mr. Manneh. I think that was an
21
       issue that was -- we discussed it here before you and I
2.2
       think it was an open question. I know the Court was not
23
       inclined to apply the application for a serial number
       obliteration.
24
                 THE COURT: Right. The serial number is out on
25
```

```
1
       all of those.
2
                 MR. KOVATS: Okay. The leader/organizer, I think,
 3
       is the only matter that I think is still open, Your Honor.
 4
                 THE COURT: Did I do a leader/organizer on Njie?
 5
                 MR. KOVATS: You did for Defendant Njie, yes, Your
 6
       Honor.
 7
                 THE COURT: That's what I thought. Okay. Thank
 8
       you.
 9
                 MR. KOVATS: And I think the record was more clear
10
       as to that defendant in the government's view.
11
                 THE COURT: Okay.
12
                 MR. KOVATS: And so I think it remains to be known
13
       whether or not we did it for Defendant Manneh, and I know
14
       Probation recommended against it if that should help you
15
       out.
16
                 THE COURT: We'll stick with that recommendation,
17
       then.
18
                 MR. KOVATS: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 THE COURT: Thank you.
20
                 Mr. Mohring. Okay. We are with Mr. Papa Faal?
21
                 MR. MOHRING: Yes, Your Honor.
2.2
                 THE COURT: Your sentence is as follows: You are
23
       sentenced to time served and three years of supervised
24
                 So I don't think there's anything else I can cover
25
       other than your right to appeal. I don't think you're going
```

```
1
       to appeal that sentence, but you do have the right to do so
2
       if you wish to do so within 14 days of the date the judgment
 3
       is entered.
 4
                 I think that is it. I also want to say for the
 5
       benefit of the other defendant -- well, the four defendants,
 6
       the defendants not only have the right to appeal, but the
 7
       United States also has the right to appeal the sentence if
       they are dissatisfied with it or if it is dissatisfied.
 8
 9
                 $200 special assessment for the Crime Victims
10
       Fund.
11
                 Okay. Anything else? Mr. Mohring, anything
       further?
12
13
                 MR. MOHRING: (Shaking head.)
14
                 THE COURT: I think I am getting a note here.
15
           (The Court and probation officer confer)
16
                 THE COURT: You can sit down if you want. I've
17
       just got to read the terms of the supervised release.
18
       terms of supervised release have the following mandatory
19
       conditions and they include the following:
20
                 First, the defendant must report to the
21
       U.S. Probation Office in the district to which you are
2.2
       released within 72 hours of your release from the custody of
23
       the Bureau.
24
                 The defendant cannot commit any crimes, federal,
25
       state, or local, during the period of supervised release.
```

2.2

I'm suspending mandatory drug testing based upon my determination that the defendant posed a low risk of future substance abuse.

No defendant may possess a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon during the period of supervised release.

And the defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

The defendant shall also submit his person, residence, office, vehicle, and area under his control to a search conducted by the U.S. Probation Office in a reasonable time and in a reasonable manner based upon reasonable suspicion of contraband or evidence of a supervision violation. The defendant shall warn any other residents or third parties that the premises and areas under his control may be subject to searches pursuant to this condition.

The defendant shall not possess or use a computer or have access to any online service without the prior approval of the Probation Office. The defendant's cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, allowing installation of a computer and Internet monitoring program and/or identifying computer systems, Internet-capable devices, and similar memory and electronic devices to which the defendant has access. The monitoring may include random

2.2

examinations of the computer system, along with Internet, electronic, and media storage devices under the defendant's control. The computer system or devices may be removed for a more thorough examination if necessary.

If not employed at a regular lawful occupation as deemed appropriate by the probation officer, the defendant may be required to perform up to 20 hours of community service per week until he or she is employed. The defendant may also participate in training, counseling, daily job search, or other employment-related activities as directed by the probation officer.

The defendant shall provide the probation officer with access to any requested financial information, including credit reports, credit card bills, bank statements, and telephone bills.

The defendant shall not possess, view, access, or otherwise use material that reflects extremist or terroristic views or is deemed to be inappropriate by the U.S. Probation Office.

The defendant shall participate in a mental health counseling program as approved by the probation officer.

The defendant must submit to periodic polygraph testing at the direction of the probation officer as a means to ensure that you are in compliance with the requirements of your supervision.

1 Although I have already done this, I will do it The Court imposes a \$200 special assessment for the 2 3 Crime Victims Fund, which amount is required by statute to 4 be paid immediately. 5 I think that's it. Anything else we need to 6 cover? 7 PROBATION OFFICER: (Shaking head.) 8 THE COURT: I want to say to counsel and to the 9 defendants, I appreciate the manner in which this was 10 handled here today at sentencing. I think it was very 11 professional and I appreciate the attitude of the 12 defendants. I don't know whether they are pleased or 13 displeased with the sentence which I have imposed, but I 14 quess I can't control that. I did what I thought was the 15 best I could do. 16 If I take your views here as expressed before 17 sentencing at face value, I don't think -- no one is going 18 to have to worry about you coming back into federal court. 19 And I hope you mean it. I think you made a big mistake in 20 the conduct which got you here today. 21 Even though it was well-intentioned, you just 2.2 cannot take basically the law into your own hands and decide 23 how to reform another government or do anything like that. 24 So think a long time before you try anything like that again

or do anything. I'm not -- by saying this I'm not thinking

25

1 you are going to do anything like that. I think you've 2 learned your lesson. Hopefully you will be back in 3 responsible positions in your communities and give faith to 4 those letters which all came in on our behalf. 5 And those letters -- as I said earlier, they were 6 great letters. I found them very informative. For those of you that are out there and wrote them, I thank you again. 7 8 And keep in mind these defendants may need some support when 9 they get back in your own community, so don't let them down. 10 On that note -- voluntary surrender, yes. 11 MR. RICHMAN: Your Honor, I ask for a 12 recommendation on behalf of Mr. Barrow that he be designated 13 to a facility near his home in Nashville, Tennessee. 14 THE COURT: That will be granted. 15 MR. RICHMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Anything else from any of the other 17 defendants, then? 18 MR. MOHRING: Your Honor, from the sublime to the 19 mundane, I would just like to clarify the computer condition 20 that was among the list of supervised release conditions. 21 The Court ordered that all of the defendants not be allowed 2.2 access to a computer without prior approval of Probation. 23 I would just ask that the Court allow Mr. Faal to 24 have a computer with all the monitoring, bells and whistles 25 attached so that he can use it for his school.

```
1
       studying for a Ph.D. and he needs a computer and Internet
2
       access to be able to do that.
 3
                 THE COURT: How do we handle that?
 4
                 PROBATION OFFICER: That's up to you. Your Honor,
 5
       we're not restricting the defendant from a computer.
 6
       just going to approve the use of the computer, if he needs a
 7
       computer, to make sure that it's compliant with our ability
       to monitor --
 8
 9
                 THE COURT: If it's not compliant, you know where
10
       to go.
11
                 MR. MOHRING: Fair enough. Thank you, Judge.
12
                 THE COURT: Anything else?
                       Thank you all for coming in and good luck.
13
14
                 THE CLERK: The voluntary surrender date?
15
                 THE COURT: Oh, voluntary surrender date. How
       long do you want? A month?
16
17
                 MR. BIRRELL: A month sounds good, Your Honor.
18
                 MR. RICHMAN: I think typically it's -- well, I'm
19
       not sure that typically there is a date set. I think that
20
       once -- I think working through Pretrial Services, once the
21
       Bureau of Prisons sets a designation, they're given a
2.2
       surrender date to that facility.
23
                 THE COURT: Does the Bureau send that out, you
24
       mean?
                 MR. RICHMAN: The Bureau notifies Pretrial
25
```

```
1
       Services. Pretrial Services notifies the defendant.
2
       don't control, nobody can control what and when the Bureau
 3
       of Prisons does and it's often not done within a month.
 4
                 MR. KOVATS: I would defer to Pretrial Services,
 5
       but my understanding is the same as Mr. Richman's.
                 THE COURT: Let's do that, then.
 6
 7
                 MR. KOVATS: That voluntary surrender will happen
 8
       when they're told to surrender?
 9
                 THE COURT:
                            Right.
10
                 PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, you can set that
11
       date for voluntary surrender. If there is no date set, then
12
       the Bureau will designate that date for the defendants to
13
       report to the facility. So you still can set a voluntary
14
       surrender date and designation will happen guite often --
15
       they could be designated within a week, two weeks.
16
       Designations happen very quickly.
17
                 THE COURT: What's a date that would fit
18
       everybody's schedules? 30 days from now?
19
                 MR. RICHMAN: I think 30 days is appropriate.
20
                 THE COURT: If anybody needs more time, they can
21
       come back. Voluntary surrender will be 30 days.
2.2
                 Anything else?
23
                 PROBATION OFFICER: No.
24
                 THE COURT: Anything else from anybody?
25
                 MR. KOVATS: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
```

```
1
                  THE COURT: We are in recess, then.
2
           (Court adjourned at 2:58 p.m.)
3
4
5
 6
7
                I, Lori A. Simpson, certify that the foregoing is a
8
       correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
9
       above-entitled matter.
10
11
                      Certified by: s/ Lori A. Simpson
12
                                     Lori A. Simpson, RMR-CRR
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```